REPOR	LOOCHMENTATIC	NPAGE	1	_
Public reporting burgen for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing and reviewing the collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this hurden. See Meaning and comment regarding this				Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188
Suite 1204 Arlingto	n. VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Manage	nour per response, including the time to action of information. Send comment region Headquarters Services. Director ament and Budget. Paperwork Reduct	or reviewing ins egarding this bu ate for informati ion Project (070	Structions searching existing data several
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave	blank) 2. REPORT DATE			DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	December 199	6 Technical		20000000
Interval-Censored Ty			5. F	UNDING NUMBERS
Censored Ty	ype II Plan		1	DAAH04-96-1-0082
				2002
6. AUTHOR(S)				
S. Mudivarthy, M.B.	Rao and R. Mitra			
7 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	ON NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES			
center for Multivari	ate Analysis	1	8. P	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION EPORT NUMBER
Department of Statis	tics			
417 Thomas Building				96-13
Penn State Universit	у			
University Park, PA	16802		İ	
S ONSORING / MONITORIN	IG AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDR	ESS(ES)	10. 5	SPONSORING / MONITORING
U.S. Army Research Offi	ias		7	GENCY REPORT NUMBER
P() Roy 17711				
Research Triangle Park,	NC 27709-2211		AR	035518.5-MA
1 CURRIENTATIVE			1,110	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
an official Department of	or findings contained in this	report are those of the	author(s)	and should not be construed.
<u> </u>	or findings contained in this the Army position, policy of	report are those of the r decision, unless so de		by other documentation.
<u> </u>	• •	report are those of the redecision, unless so de		and should not be construed aby other documentation. DISTRIBUTION CODE
2a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILI	TY STATEMENT	report are those of the r decision, unless so de		by other documentation.
2a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABIL	• •	report are those of the r decision, unless so de		by other documentation.
2a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILI Approved for public relea	ITY STATEMENT use: distribution unlimited.	report are those of the r decision, unless so de		by other documentation.
2a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILI	ITY STATEMENT use: distribution unlimited.	report are those of the r decision, unless so de		by other documentation.
Approved for public release. 3. ABSTRACT Maximum 200 wo The Type II pla of a product under n. Select a samp continuously until product using the We want to offer This problem aros nithology. In orde were made. In su	ITY STATEMENT use: distribution unlimited.	sions in order to estine the process by choosed duct, set them to tive is to estimate the plan in response to the response to	mate the sing two work, a he lifeting a past opering armes, only will be a	e lifetime distribution positive integers $r \leq$ and observe the units me distribution of the consultation problem. and the other from or- y periodic inspections unknown, but we will
Approved for public release Approved for public release The Type II plate of a product under n. Select a samp continuously until product using the We want to offer This problem arost nithology. In order were made. In sur know how many until subject terms	ase: distribution unlimited. Tas: an is used on many occaser investigation. Begin to the product of the produ	sions in order to estine the process by chooseduct, set them to tive is to estimate the plan in response to the consecutive of the consecutive of the consecutive is to the consecutive in the consecutive	mate the sing two work, a he lifeting ar nes, only will be usinspection	e lifetime distribution positive integers $r \leq$ and observe the units me distribution of the consultation problem. and the other from or- y periodic inspections unknown, but we will on times.
Approved for public releases Approved for public releases The Type II plate of a product under n. Select a samp continuously until product using the We want to offer This problem arost nithology. In order were made. In sur know how many unspected data, efficient	ase: distribution unlimited. Tas: an is used on many occaser investigation. Begin to the product of the produ	sions in order to estine the process by chooseduct, set them to tive is to estimate the plan in response to the consecutive of the consecutive of the consecutive is to the consecutive in the consecutive	mate the sing two work, a he lifeting ar nes, only will be usinspection	e lifetime distribution positive integers $r \leq$ and observe the units me distribution of the consultation problem. and the other from or- y periodic inspections unknown, but we will
Approved for public release Approved for public release The Type II plate of a product under n. Select a samp continuously until product using the We want to offer This problem arost nithology. In order were made. In sur know how many under the surface of the	an is used on many occaser investigation. Begin to the product of	sions in order to estine process by choosed duct, set them to tive is to estimate the plan in response to also one from engine in of the r failure time of the r units of the consecutive of the data, Type II plant in the plant in the plant in the consecutive of the data, Type II plant in the plant in the plant in the consecutive of the consecutive of the consecutive in the data, Type II plant in the plant	mate the sing two work, a he lifeting a past dering arenes, only will be usinspection.	e lifetime distribution positive integers r ≤ and observe the units me distribution of the consultation problem. and the other from or- y periodic inspections anknown, but we will on times. 15. NUMBER IF PAGES 6 16. PRICE CODE
Approved for public release Approved for public release The Type II plate of a product under n. Select a samp continuously until product using the We want to offer This problem arost nithology. In order were made. In sur know how many under the surface of the	an is used on many occaser investigation. Begin to the product of	sions in order to estine the process by choose oduct, set them to tive is to estimate the plan in response to the response to the residure time of the residure time of the response to the consecutive of the consecutive of the consecutive of the security of the security classification.	mate the sing two work, a he lifeting a past dering armes, only will be to inspect the lan	e lifetime distribution positive integers $r \leq$ and observe the units me distribution of the consultation problem. and the other from or- y periodic inspections anknown, but we will on times.
Approved for public release Approved for public release The Type II plate of a product under n. Select a samp continuously until product using the We want to offer This problem arost nithology. In order were made. In sur know how many under the surface of the	an is used on many occase investigation. Begin to the product of t	sions in order to estine process by choose oduct, set them to tive is to estimate the plan in response to the region of the region of the region of the region of the consecutive of the consecutive of the consecutive of the security of the trunks of the consecutive of the consecu	mate the sing two work, a he lifeting a past dering armes, only will be to inspect the lan	e lifetime distribution positive integers r ≤ and observe the units me distribution of the consultation problem. and the other from or- y periodic inspections anknown, but we will on times. 15. NUMBER IF PAGES 6 16. PRICE CODE

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102

S. Mudivarthy, M.B. Rao, R. Mitra

Technical Report 96-13

December 1996

Center for Multivariate Analysis 417 Thomas Building Penn State University University Park, PA 16802 19970210 232

Research sponsored by the Army Research Office under Grant DAAHO4-96-1-0082. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

by

S. Mudivarthy, M.B. Rao¹

Department of Statistics North Dakota State University

R. Mitra

Moorhead State University

ABSTRACT

The Type II plan is used on many occasions in order to estimate the lifetime distribution of a product under investigation. Begin the process by choosing two positive integers $r \leq n$. Select a sample of n units of the product, set them to work, and observe the units continuously until r units fail. The objective is to estimate the lifetime distribution of the product using the data on r failure times.

We want to offer a modification of this plan in response to a past consultation problem. This problem arose from two diverse fields: one from engineering and the other from ornithology. In order to expedite observation of the r failure times, only periodic inspections were made. In such a plan, the exact failure of the r units will be unknown, but we will know how many units failed between each of the consecutive inspection times.

AMS Classification index: 62H30, 62H17.

Key Words and Phrases: Censored data, efficiency, interval-censored data, Type II plan.

¹The research work of M.B. Rao is supported by Army Research Office Grant DAAH04-96-1-0082

Surekha Mudivarthy, M. Bharkara Rao, North Dakota State University Rupa Mitra, Moorhead State University

M.B. Rao, North Dakota State University, Department of Statistics, P.O. Box 5575, Fargo, ND 58105

Key Words: Censored data, efficiency, intervalcensored data, Type II plan

1. Introduction: The Type II plan is used on many occasions in order to estimate the lifetime distribution of a product under investigation. Begin the process by choosing two positive integers $r \le n$. Select a sample of n units of the product, set them to work, and observe the units continuously until r units fail. The objective is to estimate the lifetime distribution of the product using the data on r failure times

We want to offer a modification of this plan in response to a past consultation problem. This problem arose from two diverse fields: one from engineering and the other from ornithology. In order to expedite observation of the r units failure times, only periodic inspections were made. In such a plan, the exact failure times of the r units will be unknown, but we will know how many units failed between each of the consecutive inspection times.

Formally, the inspection plan can be described as follows. Choose and fix a number $t_0 > 0$. Select a sample of n units and set them to work. Inspect the units at times $t_0, 2t_0, \ldots$ until r units fail. Let M denote the number of inspections needed. Let $X_i = \text{Number of units failed during the i}^{th}$ inspection

interval
$$((i-1)t_0, it_0]$$
,

i=1,2,3,... The data consist of

$$M, X_1, X_2, ..., X_M$$

These random variables satisfy the following conditions:

$$X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_{M-1} \le r - 1$$
,

and

$$X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_M \ge r.$$

We call this plan Interval-Censored Type II plan. The basic questions we were asked to address were:

1. Evaluate the loss of information in some meaningful way if one adopts the Interval-Censored Type II plan over the traditional Type II plan;

2. Provide some guidelines as to the choice of t_0 .

In this paper, we attempt to answer these questions to the best of our ability. This is ongoing work and we want to report what we have achieved so far. Let T be the underlying lifetime variable associated with the product. We assume that T has an exponential distribution with unknown parameter $\theta > 0$. The probability density function of T is given by

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x}, x > 0, \theta > 0.$$

We obtain the likelihood estimator of θ under the Interval-Censored Type II plan and compare its variance with the variance of the unbiased estimator of θ built on the maximum likelihood estimator of θ under the Type II plan. This comparison is done using extensive simulation studies. Some relevant distribution theory and asymptotics are presented here.

2. Some Distribution Theory: Let $T_1, T_2, ..., T_n$ be *iid* copies of T and $T_{(1)} < T_{(2)} < ... < T_{(n)}$ the corresponding order statistics. Under the Type II plan, the data consist of $T_{(1)}, T_{(2)}, ..., T_{(r)}$. The maximum likelihood estimator of θ is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_{r,n} = r / (T_{(1)} + T_{(2)} + ... + T_{(r)} + (n-r)T_{(r)}).$$

Under θ , $2r\theta/\hat{\theta}_{r,n}$ has a chi-squared distribution with 2r degree of freedom. The estimator $\hat{\theta}_{r,n}$ is biased, but the bias is correctable. More precisely,

$$E_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{r,n}) = (r/(r-1))\theta$$
 for all $\theta > 0$.

The unbiased estimator $((r-1)/r)\hat{\theta}_{r,n}$ has

variance $\theta^2/(r-2)$ provided r > 2. (Epstein and Sobel (1953).)

Let us focus on the Interval-Censored Type II plan. We need to determine the joint distribution of the data $M, X_1, X_2, ..., X_M$. We proceed in two stages. First, we obtain the distribution of M and then the conditional distribution of

$$X_1, X_2, ..., X_M | M = m$$
.

The released work of the second deposit

Maria and a second

Distribution of M: $\Pr_{\theta}(M=1) = \Pr_{\theta}(X_1 \ge r)$ $= \Pr_{\theta} \text{ (at least } r \text{ failures in the interval } (0, t_0])$ $= \sum_{x=r}^{n} \binom{n}{r} (1 - e^{-\theta t_0})^x (e^{-\theta t_0})^{n-x}$ $= \int_{0}^{p_1} \frac{1}{B(r, n-r+1)} z^{r-1} (1-z)^{n-r} dz$ $= I_{p_1}(r, n-r+1),$

where $p_1 = 1 - e^{-\theta t_0}$ and $I_{p_1}(.,.)$ is the Incomplete Beta Function. (Abramowitz and Stegun (1965).) For m > 2,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr_{\theta}(M = m) \\ &= \Pr_{\theta}(X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_{m-1} \leq r - 1) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} \Pr_{\theta}(s \text{ units fail in the interval} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} \Pr_{\theta}(s \text{ units fail in the interval} \\ &= (0, (m-1)t_0] \text{ and } t \text{ units fail in the interval} \\ &= (m-1)t_0, mt_0]) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} \frac{n!}{s!t!(n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta(m-1)t_0}\right)^s \\ &= \left(e^{-\theta(m-1)t_0} - e^{-\theta mt_0}\right)^t \left(e^{-\theta mt_0}\right)^{n-s-t} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{n!}{s!(n-s)!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^t \left(e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{n-s-t} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-s} \frac{(n-s)!}{s!(n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^t \left(e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{n-s-t} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{n!}{s!(n-s)!} p_{m-1}^s \left(1 - p_{m-1}\right)^{n-s} \\ &= \sum_{t=r-s}^{r-1} \frac{n!}{s!(n-s)!} p_{m-1}^s \left(1 - p_{m-1}\right)^{n-s} \end{aligned}$$
where $p_i = \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right), i=1,2,...$

Conditional distribution of

$$\overline{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M} | M = m:$$

Under $\theta > 0$.

$$\Pr_{\theta}(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m | M = m)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{x_1! x_2! ... x_m! (n - \sum_{i=1}^m x_i)!} (1 - e^{-\theta t_0})^{x_1}$$

$$\left(e^{-\theta t_0} - e^{-2t_0\theta}\right)^{x_2} \dots \left(e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} - e^{-mt_0\theta}\right)^{x_m} \\
\left(e^{-mt_0\theta}\right)^{\left(n-\sum_{i=1}^m x_i\right)},$$

for all $0 \le x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \le n, \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i \le r - 1$, and

 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \ge r$. For the sake of simplicity, let

$$x_{m+1} = n - \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$$
.

We now derive the maximum likelihood estimate of θ based on the data: number of inspections made and the number of units failed in each inspection interval, i.e.,

 $M = m, X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m$. The likelihood L of the data is:

$$L = \text{Constant} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{x_1} \left(e^{-\theta t_0} - e^{-2t_0\theta}\right)^{x_2} ...$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} - e^{-mt_0\theta}\right)^{x_m} \left(e^{-mt_0\theta}\right)^{x_{m+1}}$$

$$= \text{Constant} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_m}$$

$$\left(e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{x_2 + 2x_3 + 3x_4 + ... + mx_{m+1}}.$$

The log likelihood is given by:

$$\ln L = \text{Constant} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i\right) \ln\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right)$$
$$-\left(\theta t_0\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}\right).$$

The derivative of the log likelihood is set equal to zero in order to obtain the maximum likelihood estimate. The following equations achieve the objective.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\ln L) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \right) \frac{t_0 e^{-\theta t_0}}{\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right)}$$
$$-t_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1} \right) = 0$$
$$\frac{e^{-\theta t_0}}{\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i}$$

$$e^{-\theta t_0} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} ix_{i+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} ix_{i+1}}$$

$$\theta = -\frac{1}{t_0} \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} ix_{i+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} ix_{i+1}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t_0} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} ix_{i+1}} \right).$$

The maximum likelihood estimator of θ , upon replacing the data by the corresponding random variables, is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{t_0} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} i X_{i+1}} \right).$$

The next objective is to obtain the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}$. Rewrite the derivative of the log likelihood as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\ln L) = t_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\theta t_0}} - 1 \right)$$
$$-t_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} i X_{i+1} \right),$$

from which we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (\ln L) = -t_0^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^M X_i \right) \left(\frac{e^{-\theta t_0}}{\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right)^2} \right).$$

The asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}$ is given by

The formula for the asymptotic variance simplifies to evaluating successfully $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_i\right)$. We will evaluate the expectation using the conditional expectation argument. Note that

$$\begin{split} E_{\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_{i} \right) &= E \left(E \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_{i} \middle| M \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{m>1} E \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} \middle| M = m \right) \Pr_{\theta} \left(M = m \right). \end{split}$$

The critical step is the evaluation of the conditional expectation:

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_{i} \middle| M = m\right)$$

$$= \Sigma \left(x_{1} + x_{2} + ... + x_{m}\right) \frac{n!}{x_{1}! x_{2}! ... x_{m}! x_{m+1}!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_{0}}\right)^{x_{1}} \left(e^{-\theta t_{0}} - e^{-2t_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{2}} ... \left(e^{-(m-1)t_{0}\theta} - e^{-mt_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{m}} \left(e^{-mt_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{m+1}}$$

where the summation is taken over all integers

$$0 \leq x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1} \leq n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1} \leq r-1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \geq r,$$

and

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1} = n.$$

Writing $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{m+1} = s$ and $x_m = t$, we can rewrite the conditional expectation as

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} \middle| M = m\right)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (s+t) \frac{n!}{s! t! (n-s-t)!}$$

$$\sum_{x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{s!}{x_{1}! x_{2}! \dots x_{m-1}!}$$

$$x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{m-1} = s$$

$$\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_{0}}\right)^{x_{1}} \left(e^{-\theta t_{0}} - e^{-2t_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{2}} \dots$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_{0}\theta} - e^{-mt_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{m}} \left(e^{-mt_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{m+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (s+t) \frac{n!}{s! t! (n-s-t)!}$$

$$\left[\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right) + \left(e^{-\theta t_0} - e^{-2t_0\theta} \right) + \dots \right.$$

$$+ \left(e^{-(m-2)t_0\theta} - e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} \right) \right]^s$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} - e^{-mt_0\theta} \right)^t \left(e^{-mt_0\theta} \right)^{n-s-t}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (s+t) \frac{n!}{s! \, t! \, (n-s-t)!}$$

$$\left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} \right)^s \left(e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} - e^{-mt_0\theta} \right)^t$$

$$\left(e^{-mt_0\theta} \right)^{n-s-t}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (s) \frac{n!}{s! \, t! \, (n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} \right)^s$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} - e^{-mt_0\theta} \right)^t \left(e^{-mt_0\theta} \right)^{n-s-t}$$

$$+ \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (t) \frac{n!}{s! \, t! \, (n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} \right)^s$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} - e^{-mt_0\theta} \right)^t \left(e^{-mt_0\theta} \right)^{n-s-t}$$

$$= n \left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} \right)^{r-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(s-1)! \, (n-s)!}$$

$$\left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} \right)^{s-1} \left(e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} \right)^{n-s}$$

$$I_{p_1} \left(r - s, n - r + 1 \right)$$

$$+(n-s)\left(1-e^{-\theta t_0}\right) \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} \frac{(n-s-1)!}{(t-1)!(n-s-t)!} \bullet \left(1-e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{t-1} \left(e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{n-s-t} \left(1-I_{p_{m-1}}(r,n-r+1)\right).$$

3. Simulations: The mean square error of the maximum likelihood estimator of θ under intervalcensored Type II plan is intractable. We evaluated the mean square error of the maximum likelihood estimator empirically by mounting Monte Carlo studies. The inputs are:

n = sample size (10,20,30,40); r = no. of failures allowed to be observed; $\theta = 0.1, 1, 10;$ $t_0 = \text{length of the time interval.}$

For each choice of r, θ , and t_0 ; 5,000 samples each of size n were drawn, maximum likelihood

estimate of θ computed, and the empirical mean square error evaluated. The objective is to compare the mean square with the variance of the unbiased estimator of θ based on the likelihood of the data under the continuous inspection Type II plan for the same choice of r, θ , and t_0 . The efficiency of the interval-censored Type II plan is computed by computing the ratio,

variance under continuous inspection plan
mean square error under interval - censored plan
A part of our computational effort is presented
below.

Sample size n=20

r	t_0	θ	Efficiency
10	0.5	l	0.9878
10	0.6	1	1.0551
10	0.7	1	1.1103
10	0.8	1	1.1252
10	0.9	1	1.0882
10	1.0	1	1.0904
15	0.5	1	0.9537
15	0.6	1	0.9306
15	0.7	1	0.9502
15	0.8	1	0.9298
15	0.9	1	0.9116
15	1.0	1	0.8532

4. Conclusion:

Even for a moderate sample size like n = 20 and for a moderate value of r = 10, the interval-censored Type II plan is as good as the Type II plan, if not better. More work is needed to make an overall recommendation.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>: The authors are grateful to Mr. Jerry Stockrahm for a careful reading of the paper.

References

- Abramowitz, M., and Stegun, A.I. (1965).
 Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover
 Publications, Inc., New York.
- Epstein, B., and Sobel, M. (1953). "Life Testing", J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 48, 486-502.

Surekha Mudivarthy, M. Bharkara Rao, North Dakota State University
Rupa Mitra, Moorhead State University
M.B. Rao, North Dakota State University, Department of Statistics, P.O. Box 5575, Fargo, ND 58105

Key Words: Censored data, efficiency, intervalcensored data, Type II plan

1. Introduction: The Type II plan is used on many occasions in order to estimate the lifetime distribution of a product under investigation. Begin the process by choosing two positive integers $r \le n$. Select a sample of n units of the product, set them to work, and observe the units continuously until r units fail. The objective is to estimate the lifetime distribution of the product using the data on r failure times.

We want to offer a modification of this plan in response to a past consultation problem. This problem arose from two diverse fields: one from engineering and the other from ornithology. In order to expedite observation of the r units failure times, only periodic inspections were made. In such a plan, the exact failure times of the r units will be unknown, but we will know how many units failed between each of the consecutive inspection times.

Formally, the inspection plan can be described as follows. Choose and fix a number $t_0 > 0$. Select a sample of n units and set them to work. Inspect the units at times $t_0, 2t_0, \ldots$ until r units fail. Let M denote the number of inspections needed. Let X_i = Number of units failed during the ith inspection

interval
$$((i-1)t_0, it_0]$$
,

i=1,2,3,... The data consist of

$$M, X_1, X_2, ..., X_M$$
.

These random variables satisfy the following conditions:

$$X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{M-1} \leq r - 1$$
,

and

$$X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_M \ge r$$
.

We call this plan Interval-Censored Type II plan. The basic questions we were asked to address were:

1. Evaluate the loss of information in some meaningful way if one adopts the Interval-Censored Type II plan over the traditional Type II plan;

2. Provide some guidelines as to the choice of t_0 .

In this paper, we attempt to answer these questions to the best of our ability. This is ongoing work and we want to report what we have achieved so far. Let T be the underlying lifetime variable associated with the product. We assume that T has an exponential distribution with unknown parameter $\theta > 0$. The probability density function of T is given by

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x}, x > 0, \theta > 0.$$

We obtain the likelihood estimator of θ under the Interval-Censored Type II plan and compare its variance with the variance of the unbiased estimator of θ built on the maximum likelihood estimator of θ under the Type II plan. This comparison is done using extensive simulation studies. Some relevant distribution theory and asymptotics are presented here.

2. Some Distribution Theory: Let $T_1, T_2, ..., T_n$ be *iid* copies of T and $T_{(1)} < T_{(2)} < ... < T_{(n)}$ the corresponding order statistics. Under the Type II plan, the data consist of $T_{(1)}, T_{(2)}, ..., T_{(r)}$. The maximum likelihood estimator of θ is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_{r,n} = r / (T_{(1)} + T_{(2)} + ... + T_{(r)} + (n-r)T_{(r)}).$$

Under θ , $2r\theta/\hat{\theta}_{r,n}$ has a chi-squared distribution with 2r degree of freedom. The estimator $\hat{\theta}_{r,n}$ is biased, but the bias is correctable. More precisely,

$$E_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_{r,n}) = (r/(r-1))\theta$$
 for all $\theta > 0$.

The unbiased estimator $((r-1)/r)\hat{\theta}_{r,n}$ has

variance $\theta^2/(r-2)$ provided r > 2. (Epstein and Sobel (1953).)

Let us focus on the Interval-Censored Type II plan. We need to determine the joint distribution of the data $M, X_1, X_2, ..., X_M$. We proceed in two stages. First, we obtain the distribution of M and then the conditional distribution of

$$X_1, X_2, ..., X_M | M = m$$
.

Distribution of M: $\Pr_{\theta}(M=1) = \Pr_{\theta}(X_1 \ge r)$ $= \Pr_{\theta} \text{ (at least } r \text{ failures in the interval } (0, t_0])$ $= \sum_{x=r}^{n} \binom{n}{r} (1 - e^{-\theta t_0})^x (e^{-\theta t_0})^{n-x}$ $= \int_{0}^{p_1} \frac{1}{B(r, n-r+1)} z^{r-1} (1-z)^{n-r} dz$ $= I_{p_1}(r, n-r+1),$

where $p_1 = 1 - e^{-\theta t_0}$ and $I_{p_1}(.,.)$ is the Incomplete Beta Function. (Abramowitz and Stegun (1965).) For m > 2,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr_{\theta}(M=m) \\ &= \Pr_{\theta}(X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_{m-1} \leq r - 1) \\ &= \Pr_{\theta}(X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_m \leq r) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} \Pr_{\theta} \text{ (s units fail in the interval } \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} \frac{n!}{s!t!(n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta(m-1)t_0}\right)^s \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} \frac{n!}{s!t!(n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta(m-1)t_0}\right)^s \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{n!}{s!(n-s)!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta(m-1)t_0}\right)^s \\ &= \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} \frac{(n-s)!}{t!(n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^t \left(e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{n-s-t} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{n!}{s!(n-s)!} p_{m-1}^s \left(1 - p_{m-1}\right)^{n-s} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{n!}{s!(n-s)!} p_{m-1}^s \left(1 - p_{m-1}\right)^{n-s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } p_i = \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right), i=1,2,....$$

Conditional distribution of

$$X_1, X_2, ..., X_M | M = m$$
:

Under $\theta > 0$.

$$\Pr_{\theta}(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m | M = m)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{x_1! x_2! ... x_m! (n - \sum_{i=1}^m x_i)!} (1 - e^{-\theta t_0})^{x_1}$$

$$\left(e^{-\theta t_0} - e^{-2t_0\theta}\right)^{x_2} \dots \left(e^{-(m-1)t_0\theta} - e^{-mt_0\theta}\right)^{x_m}$$

$$\left(e^{-mt_0\theta}\right)^{\left(n-\sum_{i=1}^m x_i\right)},$$

for all
$$0 \le x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \le n, \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i \le r - 1$$
, and

 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \ge r$. For the sake of simplicity, let

$$x_{m+1} = n - \sum_{i=1}^m x_i .$$

We now derive the maximum likelihood estimate of θ based on the data: number of inspections made and the number of units failed in each inspection interval, i.e.,

 $M = m, X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m$. The likelihood L of the data is:

$$L = \text{Constant} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right)^{x_1} \left(e^{-\theta t_0} - e^{-2t_0 \theta} \right)^{x_2} \dots$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} - e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^{x_m} \left(e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^{x_{m+1}}$$

$$= \text{Constant} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right)^{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m}$$

$$\left(e^{-\theta t_0} \right)^{x_2 + 2x_3 + 3x_4 + \dots + mx_{m+1}} \dots$$

The log likelihood is given by:

$$\ln L = \text{Constant} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i\right) \ln\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0}\right)$$
$$-\left(\theta t_0\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}\right).$$

The derivative of the log likelihood is set equal to zero in order to obtain the maximum likelihood estimate. The following equations achieve the objective.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\ln L) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \right) \frac{t_0 e^{-\theta t_0}}{\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right)}$$
$$-t_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1} \right) = 0$$
$$\frac{e^{-\theta t_0}}{\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i}$$

$$e^{-\theta t_0} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}}$$

$$\theta = -\frac{1}{t_0} \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t_0} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} i x_{i+1}} \right).$$

The maximum likelihood estimator of θ , upon replacing the data by the corresponding random variables, is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{t_0} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} i X_{i+1}} \right).$$

The next objective is to obtain the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}$. Rewrite the derivative of the log likelihood as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\ln L) = t_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_i\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\theta t_0}} - 1\right)$$
$$-t_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} i X_{i+1}\right),$$

from which we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (\ln L) = -t_0^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^M X_i \right) \left(\frac{e^{-\theta t_0}}{\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right)^2} \right).$$

The asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}$ is given by

The formula for the asymptotic variance simplifies to evaluating successfully $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M}X_{i}\right)$. We will evaluate the expectation using the conditional expectation argument. Note that

$$\begin{split} E_{\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_{i} \right) &= E \left(E \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_{i} \middle| M \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{m>1} E \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} \middle| M = m \right) \Pr_{\theta} \left(M = m \right). \end{split}$$

The critical step is the evaluation of the conditional expectation:

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_{i} \middle| M = m\right)$$

$$= \Sigma \left(x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{m}\right) \frac{n!}{x_{1}! x_{2}! \dots x_{m}! x_{m+1}!} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t_{0}}\right)^{x_{1}} \left(e^{-\theta t_{0}} - e^{-2t_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{2}} \dots \left(e^{-(m-1)t_{0}\theta} - e^{-mt_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{m}} \left(e^{-mt_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{m+1}},$$

where the summation is taken over all integers

$$0 \leq x_1, x_2, ..., x_m, x_{m+1} \leq n, x_1, x_2, ..., x_{m+1} \leq r - 1, x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \geq r,$$

and

$$x_1, x_2, ..., x_{m+1} = n$$
.

Writing $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{m+1} = s$ and $x_m = t$, we can rewrite the conditional expectation as

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} \middle| M = m\right)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (s+t) \frac{n!}{s! t! (n-s-t)!}$$

$$\sum_{x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{s!}{x_{1}! x_{2}! \dots x_{m-1}!}$$

$$x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{m-1} = s$$

$$\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_{0}}\right)^{x_{1}} \left(e^{-\theta t_{0}} - e^{-2t_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{2}} \dots$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_{0}\theta} - e^{-mt_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{m}} \left(e^{-mt_{0}\theta}\right)^{x_{m+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (s+t) \frac{n!}{s! t! (n-s-t)!}$$

$$\left[\left(1 - e^{-\theta t_0} \right) + \left(e^{-\theta t_0} - e^{-2t_0 \theta} \right) + \dots \right.$$

$$+ \left(e^{-(m-2)t_0 \theta} - e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} \right) \right]^s$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} - e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^t \left(e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^{n-s-t}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (s+t) \frac{n!}{s! \, t! \, (n-s-t)!}$$

$$\left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} \right)^s \left(e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} - e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^t$$

$$\left(e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^{n-s-t}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (s) \frac{n!}{s! \, t! \, (n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} \right)^s$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} - e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^t \left(e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^{n-s-t}$$

$$+ \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s} (t) \frac{n!}{s! \, t! \, (n-s-t)!} \left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} \right)^s$$

$$\left(e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} - e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^t \left(e^{-mt_0 \theta} \right)^{n-s-t}$$

$$= n \left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} \right)^{s-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(s-1)! \, (n-s)!}$$

$$\left(1 - e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} \right)^{s-1} \left(e^{-(m-1)t_0 \theta} \right)^{n-s}$$

$$I_{p_1} \left(r - s, n - r + 1 \right)$$

$$+(n-s)\left(1-e^{-\theta t_0}\right)\sum_{t=r-s}^{n-s}\frac{(n-s-1)!}{(t-1)!(n-s-t)!} \bullet \left(1-e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{t-1}\left(e^{-\theta t_0}\right)^{n-s-t} \left(1-I_{p_{m-1}}(r,n-r+1)\right).$$

3. Simulations: The mean square error of the maximum likelihood estimator of θ under intervalcensored Type II plan is intractable. We evaluated the mean square error of the maximum likelihood estimator empirically by mounting Monte Carlo studies. The inputs are:

n = sample size (10,20,30,40); r = no. of failures allowed to be observed; $\theta = 0.1, 1, 10;$

 $t_0 = \text{length of the time interval.}$

For each choice of r, θ , and t_0 , 5,000 samples each of size n were drawn, maximum likelihood

estimate of θ computed, and the empirical mean square error evaluated. The objective is to compare the mean square with the variance of the unbiased estimator of θ based on the likelihood of the data under the continuous inspection Type II plan for the same choice of r, θ , and t_0 . The efficiency of the interval-censored Type II plan is computed by computing the ratio,

variance under continuous inspection plan

mean square error under interval - censored plan A part of our computational effort is presented below.

Sample size n=20

r	t_0	θ	Efficiency
10	0.5	l	0.9878
10	0.6	1	1.0551
10	0.7	1	1.1103
10	0.8	1	1.1252
10	0.9	1	1.0882
10	1.0	1	1.0904
15	0.5	l	0.9537
15	0.6	1	0.9306
15	0.7	1	0.9502
15	0.8	1	0.9298
15	0.9	1	0.9116
15	1.0	l	0.8532

4. Conclusion:

Even for a moderate sample size like n = 20 and for a moderate value of r = 10, the interval-censored Type II plan is as good as the Type II plan, if not better. More work is needed to make an overall recommendation.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>: The authors are grateful to Mr. Jerry Stockrahm for a careful reading of the paper.

References

- Abramowitz, M., and Stegun, A.I. (1965).
 Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover Publications, Inc., New York.
- Epstein, B., and Sobel, M. (1953). "Life Testing", J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 48, 486-502.